

Unnecessary Exact Solutions of Nonlinear Ordinary Differential Equations

Nikolay A. Kudryashov*

Department of Applied Mathematics,
National Research Nuclear University MEPhI,
31 Kashirskoe Shosse, 115409, Moscow,
Russian Federation

Abstract

We analyze the paper by Wazwaz and Mehanna [Wazwaz A.M., Mehanna M.S., A variety of exact travelling wave solutions for the (2+1) – dimensional Boiti – Leon – Pempinelli equation, Appl. Math. Comp. 217 (2010) 1484 – 1490]. Using the tanh – coth method and the Exp – function method the authors claim that they have found exact solutions of the (2+1) – dimensional Boiti – Leon – Pempinelli equation. We demonstrate that the authors have obtained the exact solutions of the well known nonlinear ordinary differential equation. We illustrate that all solutions presented by the authors can be reduced to the well-known solutions. Wazwaz and Mehanna made a number of typical mistakes in finding exact solutions of nonlinear differential equations. Taking the results of this paper we introduce the definition of unnecessary exact solutions for the nonlinear ordinary differential equations.

1 Introduction

The construction of exact solutions for nonlinear differential equations is an important part of nonlinear science and we can see many achievements in this area in the last years [1–6]. Many of these achievements were reached using symbolical calculations with application of such software as MAPLE

*E-mail: kudryashov@mephi.ru

and MATEMATICA. However there are some shortcomings of this approach. Though computers can help investigators to do some calculating routine but they cannot completely replace the investigators since computers do not know mathematics. The total reliance on computers can lead to various mistakes in finding exact solutions of nonlinear differential equations.

We have seen many papers from different journals with such examples but for this note we selected one of them. Our aim is to demonstrate mistakes which Wazwaz and Mehanna made in Ref. [7] in finding exact solutions for the system of nonlinear differential equations.

In the recent paper [7] Wazwaz and Mehanna considered a system of equations

$$u_{ty} = (u^2 - u_x)_{xy} + 2v_{xxx} \quad (1)$$

$$v_t = v_{xx} + 2uv_x \quad (2)$$

To look for exact solutions of system (1) – (2) the authors used the traveling wave solutions $u(x, y, t) = u(\xi)$, $v(x, y, t) = v(\xi)$, $\xi = \mu(x + y - ct)$. Wazwaz and Mehanna solved the following system of nonlinear ordinary differential equations

$$-cu'' = (u^2)'' - \mu u''' + 2\mu v''', \quad (3)$$

$$-cv' = \mu v'' + 2uv', \quad (4)$$

where

$$u' = \frac{du}{d\xi}, \quad v' = \frac{dv}{d\xi}$$

and so on.

The authors have written [7]: "integrating the first equation twice with respect to ξ gives"

$$v' = \frac{1}{2}u' - \frac{u^2 + cu}{2\mu} \quad (5)$$

In fact, after integration Eq.(3) twice with respect to ξ we obtain

$$v' = \frac{1}{2}u' - \frac{u^2 + cu}{2\mu} + C_1\xi + C_2, \quad (6)$$

where C_1 and C_2 are arbitrary constants. Wazwaz and Mehanna omitted constants of integration in (6) and reduced a class of exact solutions for Eqs.(1)–(2). We see that the authors made the third error in finding exact solutions of nonlinear differential equations from the Kudryashov's list [9].

Substituting (5) into (4) Wazwaz and Mehanna obtained the equation in the form

$$\mu^2 u'' - 2u^3 - 3cu^2 - c^2u = 0 \quad (7)$$

The authors of Ref. [7] investigated this second-order ordinary differential equation using the tanh-coth function and the Exp-function methods for finding exact solutions.

The outline of this note is following: in Section 2 we give the general solution of (7). In Section 3 we analyze the application of the tanh - coth method for finding exact solutions of Eq.(7) and show that all solutions presented by Wazwaz and Mehanna can be reduced to one solution. In Section 4 we consider the application of the Exp - function method to Eq.(7) and illustrate that all solutions found with this method can be simplified. In Section 5 we introduce the definition of the unnecessary exact solution for a nonlinear ordinary differential equation and prove the theorem about scientific dishonesty.

2 General solution of Eq.(7)

Let us show that the general solution of Eq. (7) is expressed via Jacobi elliptic function. Also we will show that all other exact solutions can be found from the general solution.

Multiplying Eq.(7) on u' and integrating Eq. (7) once with respect to ξ we have

$$\mu^2 (u')^2 = u^4 + 2c u^3 + c^2 u^2 - \alpha \quad (8)$$

where α is an integration constant.

Eq.(8) has the following general solution

$$u = \frac{\sqrt{c^2 - 4\sqrt{\alpha}}}{2} \operatorname{sn} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\mu} \sqrt{c^2 + 4\sqrt{\alpha}} (\xi - \xi_0), \sqrt{\frac{c^2 - 4\sqrt{\alpha}}{c^2 + 4\sqrt{\alpha}}} \right\} - \frac{c}{2}, \quad (9)$$

where ξ_0 is an arbitrary constant.

In the case $\alpha = 0$ from Eq. (9) we have

$$u = -\frac{c}{1 + C_1 e^{\pm \frac{c}{\mu} \eta}}, \quad (10)$$

where C_1 is an arbitrary constant.

Note that solution (10) can be presented in the form

$$u = \frac{c}{2} \left(\pm \tanh \left\{ \frac{c}{2\mu} (\xi - \xi_0) \right\} - 1 \right). \quad (11)$$

In the case $\alpha = \frac{c^4}{16}$ solution of Eq. (9) has the following form

$$u = -\frac{2c^2 C_2 e^{\mp \frac{\sqrt{-c^2}}{\sqrt{2\mu}} \eta}}{C_2^2 e^{\mp \frac{2\sqrt{-c^2}}{\sqrt{2\mu}} \eta} + 2c^2} - \frac{c}{2}. \quad (12)$$

All exact solutions of Eq.(7) can be obtained from these solutions. However Wazwaz and Mehanna have found the exact solutions of this equation using the tanh – coth and Exp – function methods.

3 Analysis of application of the tanh-coth method to Eq.(7) by Wazwaz and Mehanna

Let us show that all solutions of Eq. (7) found by Wazwaz and Mehanna with the tanh – coth method can be obtained from the general solution (9). So, the authors of Ref. [7] have made the second error from the Kudryashov’s list of errors [9].

At the beginning Wazwaz and Mehanna applied the tanh – coth method to obtain solutions of Eq.(7). They have found the following three solutions

$$u_1(\xi) = -\frac{c}{2} \pm \frac{c}{2} \tanh \xi \quad (13)$$

$$u_2(\xi) = -\frac{c}{2} \pm \frac{c}{2} \coth \xi \quad (14)$$

$$u_3(\xi) = -\frac{c}{2} \pm \frac{c}{4} \tanh \xi \pm \frac{c}{4} \coth \xi \quad (15)$$

Any of these solutions can be obtained as a special case of solution (9). Moreover, all these solutions can be reduced to the solution (11) of Eq.(7).

Let us obtain solutions (13)-(15) from the solution (11). In the case of $\mu = \pm \frac{c}{2}$ and $\xi_0 = 0$ from (11) we have the solution (13).

Let us note that following relations hold

$$\tanh \left(\xi - \frac{i\pi}{2} \right) = \coth \xi \quad (16)$$

$$\tanh \left(\xi - \frac{i\pi}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\tanh \frac{\xi}{2} + \coth \frac{\xi}{2} \right) \quad (17)$$

The solutions (14) (15) can be easily found using the equalities (16) and (17) from the solution (11) with $\xi_0 = \frac{i\pi}{2}$ and $\mu = \pm \frac{c}{2}$ and $\mu = \pm \frac{c}{4}$ respectively. Therefore the authors of Ref. [7] also made the fourth error from the Kudryashov’s list of errors in finding exact solutions of nonlinear differential equations.

4 Analysis of application of the Exp – function method to Eq.(7) by Wazwaz and Mehanna

Using the Exp – function method Wazwaz and Mehanna also found 16 exact solutions of Eq.(7). These exact solutions will be given later after first sign of equality. After these solutions we present our transformations of solutions by authors of Ref. [7].

Exact solutions u_1 and u_3 do not satisfy to Eq.(7). Actually, they are wrong. Let us demonstrate that all other solutions coincide with solutions (10) and (12). It is easy to see that solutions $u_2, u_4, u_5, u_8, u_{11}$ and u_{13} have 2 arbitrary constants but these solutions are not reduced to the general solution. Solutions u_1, u_6, u_9, u_{14} and u_{15} contain 3 arbitrary constants. This situation is not possible for a second-order ordinary differential equation. Solutions u_3, u_7, u_{10}, u_{12} and u_{16} contain 4 arbitrary constants. The authors of Ref. [7] should ask each other: how can it be possible?

We can easily see that Eq.(7) has the second order. As a consequence we can obtain only two arbitrary constants for the general solution. For special case we can have less then two arbitrary constants. It is amusing that the authors of Ref. [7] do not know this fact. So they made the seventh error from the list of errors [9] as well.

Now let's illustrate that solutions by Wazwaz and Mehanna can be simplified to two solutions (10) and (12).

The solution u_1 is wrong but if we take $e^{-\eta}$ in place of e^η in the last expression of denominator we can transform solution u_1

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_1(\eta) &= \frac{a_0 - cb_{-1} e^{-\eta}}{-\frac{a_0(a_0+b_0c)e^\eta}{c^2b_{-1}} + b_0 + b_{-1} e^{-\eta}} = \\
 &= -\frac{c(a_0 - b_{-1}ce^{-\eta})}{(1 + \frac{b_0c+a_0}{b_{-1}c}e^\eta)(a_0 - b_{-1}ce^{-\eta})} = -\frac{c}{1 + \frac{b_0c+a_0}{b_{-1}c}e^\eta} = -\frac{c}{1 + C_1e^\eta},
 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where $C_1 = \frac{b_0c+a_0}{b_{-1}c}$ is an arbitrary constant. So, we obtained that the solution u_1 is essentially simplified and reduced to the solution (10) at $\mu = 1$.

The solution u_2 can be reduced to the solution (10) as well at $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ because we have

$$u_2(\eta) = -\frac{b_1ce^\eta}{b_1e^\eta + b_{-1}e^{-\eta}} = -\frac{c}{1 + C_1e^{-2\eta}}, \tag{19}$$

where $C_1 = \frac{b_{-1}}{b_1}$ is an arbitrary constant.

The solution u_3 by Wazwaz and Mehanna do not satisfy (7) but if we substitute b_{-1} for b_1 we will have

$$u_3(\eta) = \frac{-b_1 c e^\eta + a_0}{b_1 e^\eta + b_0 - \frac{a_0(a_0+b_0 c)e^{-\eta}}{c^2 b_1}} = \quad (20)$$

$$= -\frac{c(a_0 - b_1 c e^\eta)}{(1 + \frac{a_0+b_0}{b_1 c} e^{-\eta})(a_0 - b_1 c e^\eta)} = -\frac{c}{1 + \frac{a_0+b_0}{b_1 c} e^{-\eta}} = -\frac{c}{1 + C_1 e^{-\eta}},$$

where $C_1 = \frac{a_0+b_0}{b_1 c}$ is an arbitrary constant. In this case we have solution (10) at $\mu = 1$ again.

Solution u_4 can be reduced to (12) by transformations

$$u_4(\eta) = \frac{-\frac{a_0^2 e^\eta}{4b_{-1} c} + a_0 - \frac{cb_{-1}}{2} e^{-\eta}}{\frac{a_0^2 e^\eta}{2c^2 b_{-1}} + b_{-1} e^{-\eta}} = -\frac{2c^2 C_2 e^\eta}{2c^2 + C_2^2 e^{2\eta}} - \frac{c}{2}, \quad (21)$$

where $C_2 = \frac{a_0}{b_{-1}}$ is an arbitrary constant. We see that (21) is equivalent to (12).

It is easy to see that solution u_5 is equivalent to (10) at $\mu = \frac{1}{3}$

$$u_5(\eta) = -\frac{b_2 c e^{2\eta}}{b_2 e^{2\eta} + b_{-1} e^{-\eta}} = -\frac{c}{1 + C_1 e^{-3\eta}}, \quad (22)$$

where $C_1 = \frac{b_{-1}}{b_2}$ is an arbitrary constant.

We have solution (10) at $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ for solution u_6 if we take into consideration the following transformations

$$u_6(\eta) = \frac{-b_2 c e^{2\eta} - \frac{cb_2 b_{-1} e^\eta}{b_0}}{b_2 e^{2\eta} + \frac{b_2 b_{-1} e^\eta}{b_0} + b_0 + b_{-1} e^{-\eta}} =$$

$$= -\frac{\frac{cb_2}{b_0} e^{2\eta}(b_0 + b_{-1} e^{-\eta})}{(b_0 + b_{-1} e^{-\eta})(1 + \frac{b_2}{b_0} e^{2\eta})} = -\frac{\frac{cb_2}{b_0} e^{2\eta}}{1 + \frac{b_2}{b_0} e^{2\eta}} = -\frac{c}{1 + \frac{b_0}{b_2} e^{-2\eta}} = \quad (23)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{1 + C_1 e^{-2\eta}},$$

where $C_1 = \frac{b_0}{b_2}$ is an arbitrary constant.

We obtain (10) at $\mu = 1$ using the following transformations for solution u_7 by Wazwaz and Mehanna

$$\begin{aligned}
u_7(\eta) &= \frac{-b_2 c e^{2\eta} + a_1 e^\eta + a_0}{b_2 e^{2\eta} + b_1 e^\eta - \frac{a_1 b_1 c + a_0 b_2 c + a_1^2}{b_2 c^2} - \frac{a_0 (a_1 + b_1 c) e^{-\eta}}{b_2 c^2}} = \\
&= \frac{-b_2 c^2 (b_2 c e^\eta - a_1 - a_0 e^{-\eta}) e^\eta}{(b_1 c + a_1 + b_2 c e^\eta) (b_2 c e^\eta - a_1 - a_0 e^{-\eta})} = \\
&= -\frac{c}{1 + \frac{b_1 c + a_1}{b_2 c} e^{-\eta}} = -\frac{c}{1 + C_1 e^{-\eta}},
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where $C_1 = \frac{b_1 c + a_1}{b_2 c}$ is an arbitrary constant.

We have solution (10) at $\mu = \frac{1}{3}$ if we simplify u_8 by the authors of Ref. [7]

$$u_8(\eta) = -\frac{c b_{-1} e^{-\eta}}{b_2 e^{2\eta} + b_{-1} e^{-\eta}} = -\frac{c}{1 + \frac{b_2}{b_{-1}} e^{3\eta}} = -\frac{c}{1 + C_1 e^{3\eta}}, \tag{25}$$

where $C_1 = \frac{b_2}{b_{-1}}$ is an arbitrary constant.

The solution u_9 is reduced to solution (10) at $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ using transformations

$$\begin{aligned}
u_9(\eta) &= \frac{-b_0 c - c b_{-1} e^{-\eta}}{b_2 e^{2\eta} + \frac{b_2 b_{-1} e^\eta}{b_0} + b_0 + b_{-1} e^{-\eta}} = -\frac{c(b_0 + b_{-1} e^{-\eta})}{\left(1 + \frac{b_2}{b_0} e^{2\eta}\right) (b_0 + b_{-1} e^{-\eta})} = \\
&= -\frac{c}{1 + \frac{b_2}{b_0} e^{2\eta}} = -\frac{c}{1 + C_1 e^{2\eta}},
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where $C_1 = \frac{b_2}{b_0}$ is an arbitrary constant.

Solution u_{10} is reduced to the solution (10) at $\mu = 1$ taking the transformations into account

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{10}(\eta) &= \frac{a_1 e^\eta - \frac{c(b_2 b_{-1} + b_0 a_1)}{a_1} - c b_{-1} e^{-\eta}}{b_2 e^{2\eta} - \frac{(c^3 b_2^2 b_{-1} + a_1 b_0 b_2 c^2 + a_1^3) e^\eta}{c a_1^2} + b_0 + b_{-1} e^{-\eta}} = \\
&= \frac{c(a_1^3 e^\eta - c a_1 (c b_2 b_{-1} + b_0 a_1) - c a_1^2 b_{-1} e^{-\eta})}{\left(\frac{b_2 c}{a_1} e^\eta - 1\right) (a_1^3 e^\eta - c a_1 (c b_2 b_{-1} + b_0 a_1) - c a_1^2 b_{-1} e^{-\eta})} = \\
&= -\frac{c}{1 - \frac{b_2 c}{a_1} e^\eta} = -\frac{c}{1 + C_1 e^\eta},
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where $C_1 = -\frac{b_2 c}{a_1}$ is an arbitrary constant.

It is easy to see that the solution u_{11} is equivalent to the solution (10) at $\mu = 1$

$$u_{11}(\eta) = -\frac{cb_{-1}e^{-\eta}}{b_{-1}e^{-\eta} + b_{-2}e^{-2\eta}}, = -\frac{c}{1 + \frac{b_{-2}}{b_{-1}}e^{-\eta}} = -\frac{c}{1 + C_1e^{-\eta}}, \quad (28)$$

where $C_1 = \frac{b_{-2}}{b_{-1}}$ is an arbitrary constant.

We obtain solution (10) at $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ taking into account the transformations for u_{12} in the form

$$\begin{aligned} u_{12}(\eta) &= \frac{-b_0 c + a_{-1} e^{-\eta}}{b_0 + b_{-1} e^{-\eta} - \frac{a_{-1}(b_{-1}c + a_{-1})e^{-2\eta}}{c^2 b_0}} = \\ &= -\frac{c(b_0 + \frac{a_{-1}}{c} e^{-\eta})}{(b_0 + \frac{a_{-1}}{c} e^{-\eta})(1 + \frac{cb_{-1} + a_{-1}}{cb_0} e^{-\eta})} = -\frac{c}{1 + \frac{cb_{-1} + a_{-1}}{cb_0} e^{-\eta}} = \\ &= -\frac{c}{1 + C_1 e^{-\eta}}, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where $C_1 = \frac{cb_{-1} + a_{-1}}{cb_0}$ is an arbitrary constant.

We have the solution (10) at $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ again from u_{13} by the authors of Ref. [7]

$$u_{13}(\eta) = \frac{-cb_{-2}e^{-2\eta}}{b_0 + b_{-2}e^{-2\eta}} = -\frac{c}{1 + \frac{b_0}{b_{-2}}e^{2\eta}} = -\frac{c}{1 + C_1 e^{2\eta}}, \quad (30)$$

where $C_1 = \frac{b_0}{b_{-2}}$ is an arbitrary constant.

We obtain the solution (10) at $\mu = 1$ using the following transformations for the solution u_{14} by Wazwaz and Mehanna

$$\begin{aligned} u_{14}(\eta) &= \frac{a_{-1} e^{-\eta} - cb_{-2} e^{-2\eta}}{-\frac{a_{-1}(b_{-1}c + a_{-1})}{c^2 b_{-2}} + b_{-1} e^{-\eta} + b_{-2} e^{-2\eta}}, = \\ &= -\frac{c e^{-\eta} (\frac{a_{-1}}{c} - b_{-2} e^{-\eta})}{(\frac{b_{-1}}{b_{-2}} + \frac{a_1}{cb_{-2}} + e^{-\eta}) (\frac{a_{-1}}{c} - b_{-2} e^{-\eta})} = -\frac{c e^{-\eta}}{(\frac{b_{-1}}{b_{-2}} + \frac{a_1}{cb_{-2}} + e^{-\eta})} = \\ &= -\frac{c}{1 + (\frac{b_{-1}}{b_{-2}} + \frac{a_1}{cb_{-2}}) e^{\eta}} = -\frac{c}{1 + C_1 e^{\eta}}, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where $C_1 = \left(\frac{b_{-1}}{b_{-2}} + \frac{a_1}{cb_{-2}} \right)$ is an arbitrary constant.

We have the solution (10) at $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ taking into account the following transformations for u_{15}

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{15}(\eta) &= \frac{-cb_{-1}e^{-\eta} - cb_{-2}e^{-2\eta}}{b_1e^\eta + \frac{b_1}{b_{-2}b_{-1}} + b_{-1}e^{-\eta} + b_{-2}e^{-2\eta}} = \\
&= -\frac{ce^{-\eta}(b_{-1} + b_{-2}e^{-\eta})}{\left(\frac{b_1}{b_{-1}}e^\eta + e^{-\eta}\right)(b_{-1} + b_{-2}e^{-\eta})} = -\frac{ce^{-\eta}}{\frac{b_1}{b_{-1}}e^\eta + e^{-\eta}} = \\
&= -\frac{c}{1 + C_1e^{2\eta}},
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where $C_1 = \frac{b_1}{b_{-1}}$ is an arbitrary constant.

We can get the solution (10) at $\mu = 1$ from the solution u_{16} by Wazwaz and Mehanna using the following transformations

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{16}(\eta) &= \frac{a_0 - \frac{c(a_0b_{-1} + cb_1b_{-2})e^{-\eta}}{a_0} - cb_{-2}e^{-2\eta}}{b_1e^\eta - \frac{a_0b_1c^2b_{-1} + b_1^2c^3b_{-2} + a_0^3}{ca_0^2} + b_{-1}e^{-\eta} + b_{-2}e^{-2\eta}} = \\
&= \frac{c(a_0^3 - ca_0(a_0b_{-1} + cb_1b_{-2})e^{-\eta} - ca_0^2b_{-2}e^{-2\eta})}{\left(\frac{b_1c}{a_0}e^\eta - 1\right)(a_0^3 - ca_0(a_0b_{-1} + cb_1b_{-2})e^{-\eta} - ca_0^2b_{-2}e^{-2\eta})} = \\
&= \frac{c}{\frac{b_1c}{a_0}e^\eta - 1} = -\frac{c}{1 + C_1e^\eta},
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

where $C_1 = -\frac{b_1c}{a_0}$ is an arbitrary constant.

So, we can see that all 15 solutions by Wazwaz and Mehanna from 16 ones can be simplified and reduced to the solution (10) at $\mu = 1; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{3}$. So, the authors [7] also made the fifth error from the list of errors from Ref. [9]. Now we would like to ask the authors: why did they find only 16 solutions at these values μ ? It is obvious that using other values μ they can find 100, 1000 or even more solutions. They can fill out all pages of the journal by these "solutions" of Eq.(7) because we have pointed out many values of parameter μ . There is also another good question. Why did the authors use only multipliers with 2 monomials in the solutions $u_1, u_3, u_6, u_9, u_{12}, u_{14}, u_{15}$, with 3 monomials in the solution u_7 , with 4 monomials in the solutions

u_{10} and u_{16} ? They could obtain much more solutions for Eq.(7) if they used 5, 6 and more monomials. Many "new solutions" could be made up and presented as the solutions of Eq.(7)!

5 Unnecessary exact solutions of nonlinear differential equations

Let us introduce the definition of the unnecessary exact solution of a nonlinear differential equation. We can observe the different types of these solutions. Suppose we have a nonlinear differential equation

$$E(w, w_z, \dots, z) = 0. \quad (34)$$

Assume that there is an exact solution of this equation in the form of a fraction

$$w = \frac{\varphi(z)}{\psi(z)}. \quad (35)$$

Let us also suppose that the exact solution (10) can be written in the form

$$w = \frac{\varphi_1(z) f(z)}{\psi_1(z) f(z)} = \frac{\varphi_1(z)}{\psi_1(z)}. \quad (36)$$

We see that exact solution (35) can be simplified. We have that exact solution (35) is unnecessary exact solution because we can transform this solution to more simple form. Let us introduce the following definition of an unnecessary exact solution.

Definition. *The exact solution (35) is called the unnecessary exact solution of the differential equation (34) if we can reduce this exact solution to more simple form.*

As we can see from the previous section, all the solutions of Eq.(7) obtained by Wazwaz and Mehanna using the Exp – function method are unnecessary exact solutions. It is clear that we can obtain many solutions of nonlinear differential equation if we multiple nominator and denominator on any expression but these solutions can be simplified. All these solutions are not interesting and inconvenient. Moreover, the authors [7] do not take care of paper usage and set a bad example for many young people.

The authors of Ref. [10] have shown that the application of the Exp-function method in finding exact solutions is not effective, dangerous and can lead to wrong solutions. The work of Wazwaz and Mehanna illustrates all disadvantages of the Exp-function method. First, Wazwaz and Mehanna obtained wrong solutions. Second, the exact solutions from Ref. [7] contains

superfluous arbitrary constants. Third, these exact solutions have cumbersome form and as we have shown above these solutions can be simplified. And the last, all correct exact solutions by Wazwaz and Mehanna are the same and can be obtained from the well-known exact solution of the Eq.(7). So we must say to the scientific community again: be careful with Exp – function method.

In conclusion, we strongly recommend authors to look carefully at the papers [8–27] before starting to look for exact solutions of nonlinear differential equations.

References

- [1] Kudryashov N.A., Exact soliton solutions of the generalized evolution equation of wave dynamics, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics*, 1988; 52(3):361 - 5.
- [2] Kudryashov N.A., Exact solutions of the generalized Kuramoto - Sivashinsky equation, *Phys Lett A* 147, 1990; 147:287 – 91.
- [3] Parkes E.J., Duffy B.R., An automated tanh-function method for finding solitary wave solutions to non-linear evolution equations, *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 1996;98:288 - 300
- [4] Fan E, Extended tanh-function method and its applications to nonlinear equations, *Phys. Letters A*, 2000;277: 212 – 218.
- [5] Polyanin A.D., Zaitsev V.F., A.I. Zhyrov A.I. *Methods of nonlinear equations of mathematical physics and mechanics*, Fizmatlit, Moscow, 2005, 260
- [6] Kudryashov N.A., Simplest equation method to look for exact solutions of nonlinear differential equations, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 2005,24:1217 – 31
- [7] Wazwaz A.M., Mehanna M.S., A variety of exact travelling wave solutions for the (2+1) – dimensional Boiti – Leon – Pempinelli equation, *Appl. Math. Comp.*, 2010;217:1484 – 1490
- [8] Allen M.A. Current obsession with publication statistics, *ScienceAsia*, 2010;36:1 – 5.

- [9] Kudryashov N.A., Seven common errors in finding exact solutions of nonlinear differential equations, *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simul* 2009;14:35033529.
- [10] Kudryashov N.A., Loguinova N.B., Be careful with Exp-function method, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat.*, 2009;14:1881 - 1890
- [11] Kudryashov N.A., On "new travelling wave solutions" of the KdV and the KdV-Burgers equations. *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simul*, 2009;14:1891-900.
- [12] Kudryashov N.A., Soukharev M.B. , Popular Ansatz methods and Solitary wave solutions of the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation, *Regular and Chaotic Dynamics*, 2009;14:407 – 19
- [13] Kudryashov N.A., Comment on: "A novel approach for solving the Fisher equation using Exp-function method", *Physics Letters A* 2009;373:1196 - 1197
- [14] Kudryashov N.A. Meromorphic solutions of nonlinear differential equations, *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simulat* (2009), doi:10.1016/j.cnsns.2009.11.013.
- [15] Kudryashov N.A., Sinelshchikov D.I., A note on "Abundant new exact solutions for the (3+1) – dimensional Jimbo – Miva equation", *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 2010;371(1):393 – 96
- [16] Kudryashov N.A., Soukharev M.B. Comment on: Multi soliton solution,rational solution of the Boussinesq – Burgers equation, *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simul*, 2010;15:1765 – 67.
- [17] Kudryashov N.A., A note on New Exact solutions for the Kawahara equation using Exp – function method, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 2010;234(12):3511 – 12
- [18] Kudryashov N.A., A note on the G'/G – expansion method, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 2010;217:1755 – 58
- [19] Kudryashov N.A., A new note on exact complex travelling wave solutions for (2+1) – dimensional B – type Kadomtsev – Petviashvili equation, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 2010; 217:2282 – 84
- [20] Kudryashov N.A., Sinelshchikov D.I., A Note on the Lie Symmetry Analysis and Exact Solutions for the Extended mKdV Equation, *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, (2010), doi:10.1007/a10440-010-9582-6

- [21] Parkes E.J., A note on travelling - wave solutions to Lax's seventh - order KdV equation, *Appl Math Comput* 2009; 215:864 - 65
- [22] Parkes E.J. Observations on the tanh-coth expansion method for finding solutions to nonlinear evolution equations, *Appl Math Comput* 2010;217:1749 – 54
- [23] Parkes E.J., A note on solitary travelling-wave solutions to the transformed reduced Ostrovsky equation, *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simul*, 2010;15:2769 – 71
- [24] Parkes E.J., Observations on the basic (G'/G) – expansion method for finding solutions to nonlinear evolution equations, *Appl Math Comput* 2010;217:1759 – 63
- [25] Parkes E.J., A note on "New travelling wave solutions to the Ostrovsky equation" (2010), doi:10.1016/j/amc.2010.08.044
- [26] Popovych R.O., Vaneeva O.O., More common errors in finding exact solutions of nonlinear differential equations, *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simul* 2010;15:3887 – 99
- [27] Sinelshchikov D.I., Comment on: New exact traveling wave solutions of the $(3 + 1)$ -dimensional KadomtsevPetviashvili (KP) equation, *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simul*, 2010;15:3235 – 36